



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,699	09/11/2006	Francis Lefranc	PSA0450497	9087
29980	7590	02/06/2009	EXAMINER	
NICOLAS E. SECKEL			MCPARTLIN, SARAH BURNHAM	
Patent Attorney				
1250 Connecticut Avenue, NW Suite 700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			3636	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/06/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/598,699	LEFRANC, FRANCIS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SARAH B. MCPARTLIN	3636	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SARAH B. MCPARTLIN. (3) _____.

(2) Nicolas Seckel. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 02 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 9.

Identification of prior art discussed: Luden et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Seckel proposed several claim amendments that would help overcome the prior art of the record. The Examiner agrees that adding language to describe how the resilient element is flexibly deformable to widen the gap and allow insertion of the structural element and naturally biased to narrow the gap to ensure fixation of the vibratory device would appear to overcome the prior art of record..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sarah B. McPartlin/
Examiner, Art Unit 3636